



# Μονάδα Διασφάλισης Ποιότητας Πανεπιστήμιο Πελοποννήσου

[Αρχική Σελίδα](#)[Γενικά](#)[Διαχείριση](#)[Έργο ΜΟ.ΔΙ.Π.](#)[Εισαγωγή στοιχείων](#)[Το Τμήμα μου](#)

## Course evaluation questionnaire (v. 2023)

This questionnaire is filled anonymously by students, for each of the courses s/he has been taught. Is used by the instructor, the Internal Evaluation Committee, the University's Quality Assurance Unit and the responsible institutions for the improvement of the quality of both the learning experience and the teaching; it is also used for the design and development of future courses, as well as for the improvement of the quality of the procedures. Filling in this questionnaire is very important; by filling in the questionnaire you contribute to the quality assurance of the studies, allowing the governing bodies to take informed decisions.

Rating scale:

5: Very much / Very good

4: Much / Satisfactory

3: Average / Average

2: Little/ Not satisfactory

1: Not at all / Unacceptable

while in the last question (Remarks and comments) you can enter free text.

### A. The course

1. The goals of the course were clear. \*

1  2  3  4  5

2. The course content was aligned with the goals of the course. \*

1  2  3  4  5

3. The course content is correlated with the content of other courses. \*

1  2  3  4  5

4. It is necessary that the seminars/assistive teaching are offered to support students with the course. \*

1  2  3  4  5

5. The majority of the things you learned within this course were really interesting. \*

1  2  3  4  5

6. Contemporary information and communication technologies were used in the teaching process. \*

1  2  3  4  5

### A1. For the courses which involved written or oral assignments

7. Were assignments given in a timely fashion?

1  2  3  4  5

8. Were the assignment delivery of presentation deadlines reasonable?

1  2  3  4  5

9. The requested deliverables of the assignments were clearly explained.

1  2  3  4  5

10. The instructor's comments were constructive and detailed.

1  2  3  4  5

11. The assignment(s) was/were an important experience and contributed to apply the acquired knowledge.

1  2  3  4  5

### B. The educational material used

17. The educational material used aided towards the comprehension of the subject? \*

1  2  3  4  5

18. Were the educational material and aids (textbooks, notes, additional bibliography) provided in a timely fashion? \*

1  2  3  4  5

19. Was the bibliography was easily accessible in the institution's library? \*

1  2  3  4  5

C. The instructor

20. Does the instructor organize well the presentation of the material in the lectures? \*

1  2  3  4  5

21. The instructor inspires interest/enthusiasm for the course subject. \*

1  2  3  4  5

22. The instructor analyses and presents concepts in a straightforward and interesting manner using examples. \*

1  2  3  4  5

23. The instructor encourages students to raise questions and objections, developing their critical ability. \*

1  2  3  4  5

24. The instructor is punctual in his/her obligations in terms of teaching (timely correction of coursework, consistency in student office hours). \*

1  2  3  4  5

D. Myself - the student

25. I attend the lectures regularly \*

1  2  3  4  5

26. Did the course evaluation methods include mid-term evaluations (assignments, presentations, mid-term exams etc.)? (YES/NO) \*

Yes ▾

27. If you answered YES to the previous question: Did you participate in the mid-term evaluation? (YES/NO) \*

Yes ▾

28. I dedicate on a weekly basis the following number of hours to study on the particular course: 1= <2 hours, 2=2-4 hours, 3=4-6 hours, 4=6-8 hours, 5= >8 hours \*

1  2  3  4  5

E. Additional remarks and comments

29. Additional remarks and comments



Ευρωπαϊκή Ένωση  
Ευρωπαϊκό Κοινωνικό Ταμείο



ΥΠΟΥΡΓΕΙΟ ΠΑΙΔΕΙΑΣ & ΘΡΗΣΚΕΥΜΑΤΩΝ, ΠΟΛΙΤΙΣΜΟΥ & ΑΘΛΗΤΙΣΜΟΥ  
ΕΙΔΙΚΗ ΥΠΗΡΕΣΙΑ ΔΙΑΧΕΙΡΙΣΗΣ

Με τη συγχρηματοδότηση της Ελλάδας και της Ευρωπαϊκής Ένωσης



ΕΥΡΩΠΑΪΚΟ ΚΟΙΝΩΝΙΚΟ ΤΑΜΕΙΟ