Αποσύνδεση (sysadmin)

Αρχική Σελίδα

Εισαγωγή στοιχείων

## Course evaluation questionnaire for courses including laboratory practice (v. 2023)


Έργο ΜΟ.ΔΙ.Π.

This questionnaire is filled anonymously by students, for each of the courses s/he has been taught. Is is used by the instructor, the Internal Evaluation Committee, the University's Quality Assurance Unit and the responsible institutions for the improvement of the quality of both the learning experience and the teaching; it is also used for the design and development of future courses, as well as for the improvement of the quality of the procedures. Filling in this questionnaire is very important; by filling in the questionnaire you contribute to the quality assurance of the studies, allowing the governing bodies to take informed decisions.

## Rating scale:

- 5: Very much / Very good
- 4: Much / Satisfactory
- 3: Average / Average
- 2: Little/ Not satisfactory
- 1: Not at all / Unacceptable

while in the last question (Remarks and comments) you can enter free text.



## -A1. For the courses which involved written or oral assignments

7. Were assignments given in a timely fashion?

01 02 03 04 05

8. Were the assignment delivery of presentation deadlines reasonable?

01 02 03 04 05

9. The requested deliverables of the assignments were clearly explained.

01 02 03 04 05

10. The instructor's comments were constructive and detailed.

01 02 03 04 05

11. The assignment(s) was/were an important experience and contributed to apply the acquired knowledge.

01 02 03 04 05

## A2. The course laboratories

12. Are notes/handouts adequate with respect to the laboratory exercises? \*

01 02 03 04 05

13. Are the basic principles of experiments/exercises well-explained?\*

01 02 03 04 05

14. The goals of laboratory exercises are clearly explained \*

 $\bigcirc$ 1  $\bigcirc$ 2  $\bigcirc$ 3  $\bigcirc$ 4  $\bigcirc$ 5

01 02 03 04 05
16. The lab instructor provides adequate guidance, contributing to the successful execution of the experiments/exercises. *
01 02 03 04 05
B. The educational material used
17. The educational material used aided towards the comprehension of the subject? *
01 02 03 04 05
18. Were the educational material and aids (textbooks, notes, additional bibliography) provided in a timely fashion? *
01 02 03 04 05
19. Was the bibliography was easily accessible in the institution's library? *
01 02 03 04 05
C. The instructor—
20. Does the instructor organize well the presentation of the material in the lectures? *
$\bigcirc 1 \bigcirc 2 \bigcirc 3 \bigcirc 4 \bigcirc 5$
21. The instructor inspires interest/enthusiasm for the course subject. *
$\bigcirc 1 \bigcirc 2 \bigcirc 3 \bigcirc 4 \bigcirc 5$
22. The instructor analyses and presents concepts in a straightforward and interesting manner using examples. *
$\bigcirc 1 \bigcirc 2 \bigcirc 3 \bigcirc 4 \bigcirc 5$
23. The instructor encourages students to raise questions and objections, developing their critical ability. *
01 02 03 04 05
24. The instructor is punctual in his/her obligations in terms of teaching (timely correction of coursework, consistency in student office
hours).*
01 02 03 04 05
D. Myself - the student
25. I attend the lectures regularly *
01 02 03 04 05
26. Did the course evaluation methods include mid-term evaluations (assignments, presentations, mid-term exams etc.) (YES/NO) *
Yes 🕶
27. If you anwered YES to the previous question: Did you participate in the mid-term evaluation? (YES/NO) *
Yes 🗸
28. I dedicate on a weekly basis the following number of hours to study on the particular course: 1= <2 hours, 2=2-4 hours, 3=4-6 hours,
4=6-8 hours, 5= >8 hours *
01 02 03 04 05
E. Additional remarks and comments
29. Additional remarks and comments

15. Is the laboratory equipment/infrastructure adequate? \*





